

Summit Survey Results

What is your overall impression of the Summit?

Category	# of Responses
Excellent	13
Well Above Average	27
Average	9
Alright	2
Below Average	0

Comments:

- Just a little too long on the "ice-breaker" exercise at the beginning. It was GREAT information, but could have been done amongst our table-mates, and we would have cut down on the time and gotten the same "message." – 3
- I realize that the opening activity kind of took on a life of its own; however, I think it set a valuable tone to the meeting. - 2
- The summit provided some important information as well as a great opportunity to network with others in Juvenile Justice. - 2
- I appreciated the microphone that was used for the audience - I tend to be a little hard of hearing.
- Good networking and connection of JJ Council and Commission members statewide.
- Sharing of information was valuable. Work on the plans helped to understand where we go from here.
- Well organized and friendly.
- I just thought that we did a good job of giving time for questions and good information sharing.
- Thank you for your hard work in putting this together.
- It was good to see all the people involved.
- I need concrete action items to know what to do to help the JJC achieve their goals.
- It was hard to stay awake.
- I learned a lot from the summit but as an educator would probably have more benefit from a teaching conference.
- The planning and organization to bring that many different people together was impressive.

Was the meeting room comfortable?

Category	# of Responses
Yes	50
No	1

Comments:

- Occasionally a little chilly! – 2
- A little cold, but aren't all conferences rooms these days. The hotel was VERY accommodating.
- Freezing!
- Except the dang chairs sucked!!
- The Doubletree Meeting rooms are usually very comfortable and this meeting was no exception.

Was the food adequate?

Category	# of Responses
Excellent	26
Well Above Average	16
Average	9
Alright	0
Below Average	0

Comments:

- The food was outstanding, and plentiful. – 2
- Barbeque was the best.
- Excellent--The food was excellent--way too much food. On second thought, I loved it all--so there really was not too much!!
- More food than I have ever seen at a conference. Food is a good social connector for people!
- Don't serve pasta for lunch, it makes everybody tired!!! Not a complaint, just a nutritional observation. Thank you.

Overall did you feel the speakers were prepared, responsive to questions, and informative?

Category	# of Responses
Excellent	19
Well Above Average	26
Average	6
Alright	0
Below Average	0

Comments:

- Would have like to listen to more of what the final speaker had to say. - 3
- Gordon Bazemore was over the top. Tom Begich is an amazingly talented person. Don't care for his politics!
- I especially enjoyed Dr. Bazemore. I have read his articles but never heard him speak so it was a good first experience for me.
- Enjoyed Dr. Bazemore's presentation.
- Probably the most interesting information came from the audience.
- I enjoyed the speakers and the information they provided. THANK YOU!

Did you find the written materials informative and related to the Summit topics?

Category	# of Responses
Yes	50
No	1

Comments:

- They look very nice and well done. - 2
- Really helpful in further developing local plans. Loved the book!
- Both the State of Idaho 3-Year Plan and the 2009 Idaho Juvenile Justice Summit "Opportunity Knocks" are excellent references. Well Done.
- Would like to have had more info about the roles of the Commission
- I took home much more in the way of written resources than at any other conference.
- The article on RJ in schools was VERY informative.
- Well done, especially for a new council member!!
- I liked having 2 different books instead of one big one.
- The Summit book was poorly done. Too many typos and mis-information. Looked unprofessional.

Training and Research Committee Comments:

- Believe actions to move toward local trainings is a good idea
- Great.
- Having had a child go through the system years ago, I definitely felt the lack of "parent inclusiveness". Although it appears your Dept. is moving in a better direction overall. I would be glad to discuss this topic at length although I am not a member of your Department.
- I am very much in favor of having a direction for trainings and the 3 year plan sets out some important areas that need to be addressed in Idaho. The themes for each of the next 3 years are broad enough to give a good deal of scope and latitude for any entity planning training in the next 3 years.
- I appreciate the hard work and leadership each of the committee members provided. I think there should have been more time to discuss because those ideas, comments, concerns as participants may not have written them down to give as input at a later time, like me! We'll work through whatever comes up. Still a very good direction to go.
- I do not like the proposal to no longer have the training dollars at the local level. I realize that they have been significantly under spent, but I believe each local council could have been charged with identifying training needs and spending funds.
- I think the training will take some work but will provide great opportunities for the district councils. Research will be a bigger challenge to pull off.
- It was 30 minutes to long.
- Like it. Should make training more consistent statewide.
- Must have a mechanism to let Council's know results of training opportunities so they can advertise and promote the most successful ones.
- Ok
- This excites me. Again, it will be time and work intensive but worth it in the end.

Grant Committee Comments:

- As a first time attendee, I would like some more background information.
- Believe good idea to follow district plans in implementing funding.
- Excellent--local control and responsibility.
- Headed in the right direction. This new process will help to target the identified areas for needed services, resources and consistent with our plans! Need to be careful not to create issues locally between councils and local partners.
- I am concerned about the changes I have seen the grant procedures over the past few years. It appears to me that the grant requirements are destroying the ability of the rural area eligible applicants to apply for grants. When I first became a council member we always had a number of applications for small grants for small projects and training. The council needs to come up with some sort of waiver of some of the outcome reporting requirements for small grants of \$2,500 or less. I also think that application for 2nd and 3rd year funding need to be move back to allow sufficient time to generate meaningful reporting information. Currently about all the meaningful information that is available is on implementation and data on outcome is weak because of the short period of time the program has operated.
- I don't think the grant should be paying for TFC since that is DHW responsibility.
- I like the changes, gives the local council the opportunity to help the grant writers, but not sure I like the part about meeting with the council, that's a very long drive in some rural counties.
- I think it was a great discussion. The committee was very well prepared. I think they got some good feedback and people presented ideas that had not been thought of before.
- Let not reinvent the wheel. I realize that the Grant Committee wants the best proposals picked. Remember, it is more about writing the best proposal and more importantly implementing the best platform/program. The Grants Committee Spokesman was difficult to follow. They should not ask for input if they are not prepared or ready to negotiate.
- Like it. Puts more responsibility on the Councils to engage the communities in meeting their action plans.
- Need one month lead time at least for submission of grant topics.
- None at this time.
- Ok
- Several folks indicated that the timeline for BARJ grants is out-of-sync for the educational system to take full advantage. Move the grant process back so that a funding letter can be issued in August with money to follow October 1st.
- The comments sure were all over the board. The folks representing nonprofits think we "baby" our grantees and could not understand the complaints that were made. We just have a lot of continued work to do to get this process up and running. It will be time intensive but worth it in the end.
- The grant proposal seemed to be in the still "figuring out stage"
- There have been and always will be challenges in implementing a fair process for scoring and funding grants. I agree that the timelines are a big problem and need to be adjusted. I think we need clearly define what type of "assistance" from the JJ Council is permissible.
- This is a good idea
- Will take some effort from us to educate and support the Councils